iMAgery-Focused Psychological Therapy for Persecutory Delusions in PSychosis (iMAPS): A Novel Treatment Approach

Christopher D.J. Taylor, Pennine Care NHS Foundation Trust and University of Manchester
Penny E. Bee, University of Manchester
James Kelly, Greater Manchester Mental Health NHS Foundation Trust and University of Manchester
Gillian Haddock, University of Manchester and Greater Manchester Mental Health NHS Foundation Trust

Intrusive mental imagery and negative beliefs about self and others are frequently reported problems for individuals who experience psychosis, but there are few treatment approaches that have specifically targeted these. Intrusive mental images and negative schemas have been identified as potential maintaining factors for persecutory delusions. These can range from paranoia-related recurrent intrusive images (e.g., being attacked by others, being followed by unknown figures who mean you harm) from the past or “flash-forward” future paranoia related intrusive mental images. In this article we outline clinical issues and adaptations of an imagery-focused approach for persecutory delusions. Drawing on a number of sources including a systematic literature review, a qualitative study exploring core beliefs, an experience sampling study and techniques from existing manuals and approaches, we adapted these imagery approaches to work with images and schema. The close links between imagery and core beliefs highlighted an opportunity to also use imagery rescripting approaches to transform negative schema and reduce persecutory delusions. Individuals with psychosis often want help with intrusive mental images and negative beliefs; adapted evidence-based imagery focused interventions can be used and the interventions may also help to reduce persecutory delusions.

Intrusive, anxiety-provoking mental images have been identified as key features of psychosis (Morrison et al., 2002; Schulze et al., 2013). These images are often linked with stressful events or previous trauma, which can be common in psychosis (Varese et al., 2012) and lead to the development of negative beliefs about the self and others (Fowler et al., 2006). One study found that 74% (n = 26 out of 35) of individuals with psychosis reported images linked with their psychotic symptoms (Morrison et al., 2002). More recently, Schulze and colleagues (2013) examined intrusive images in 40 individuals with psychosis who had persecutory delusions. Seventy-three percent (n = 29) described persecutory beliefs (e.g., strangers in the street follow me and want to kill me), paranoid-related images (e.g., image of myself hanging from a handrail in my house), and associated beliefs (e.g., I am vulnerable and need to be on my guard) related to these images. As such, it seems that imagery could be a route to accessing core beliefs or schemas, particularly the meaning of these images (Wild, Hackmann, & Clark, 2007, 2008), although this has not been explored widely in people experiencing persecutory delusions.

Imagery rescripting has been utilized in reducing distress associated with intrusive images in a number of mental health disorders (Arntz, 2012). This includes posttraumatic stress disorder (Arntz, Sofi, & van Breukelen, 2013), body dysmorphic disorder (Willson, Vele, & Freeston, 2016), and, more broadly, in working with aversive childhood memories (Morina, Lancee, & Arntz, 2017). It is also a key component of schema therapy, which seeks to change unhelpful schemas (beliefs) that maintain distress (Arntz & Jacob, 2012). Imagery rescripting is an approach that involves imagining an image or memory from the observer’s perspective, and then reimagining this from the wider-view perspective, this time with the observer (self) remaining present. Several case studies have described using some techniques to change distressing images in psychosis. These include a single-case study in psychosis, where working with images associated with persecutory delusions seemed to support reductions in distress, conviction, and preoccupation in relation to these beliefs (Morrison, 2004). A recent small case series study (N = 4) applied imagery rescripting specifically to individuals who hear voices and found clinically significant reductions in distress, negative affect, and reduced conviction in the beliefs associated with the imagery at 1-week follow-up and 1 month (Ison et al. 2014).
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This research suggests that intrusive images and negative schema are maintaining factors for persecutory delusions (Schulze et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2006) and so strategies to reduce the distress of these may also help to reduce the severity of paranoia. A case series has tested an iMAgery-focused approach for persecutory delusions for people with PSychosis (iMAPS) and appears feasible and acceptable (Taylor, Bee, Kelly, Emsley, & Haddock, 2018). Briefly, the therapy draws on a number of sources, including a systematic literature review, a qualitative study exploring core beliefs, an experience sampling study, and techniques from existing manuals and approaches. The adapted imagery approach works with images and schema. The close links between imagery and core beliefs offered the potential of using imagery techniques and imagery rescripting approaches to transform images and negative schema, reducing persecutory delusions.

The participants recruited to the case series study were under the care of an early intervention psychosis service; thus, they were within 3 years of their first presentation to mental health services. Therefore, at this stage we cannot assume that the approach will be feasible and acceptable for clients who are at ultra high risk of developing psychosis, or those who have longer-term established psychotic experiences over many years.

There are initial indications that, for some clients, working with images and imagery in this way reduces the distress of images, decreases negative beliefs, and indirectly reduces persecutory delusions. In this article, we outline our initial experiences of using imagery approaches to assess, formulate, and intervene with images and schema for people with persecutory delusions to reduce the distress and frequency of images and negative beliefs.

Overview of Intervention

Aims of Intervention

The iMAPS intervention had two aims: (a) to work with intrusive mental images, and (b) to work with underlying schematic beliefs that may be associated with mental images.

Development of Imagery-Focused Intervention Protocol

The iMAgery-focused therapy for persecutory delusions in Psychosis (iMAPS) approach was developed from a number of sources. This included a systematic review of schema therapy across mental health disorders, partly to identify if there were any existing examples of a schema therapy style intervention explored in psychosis, and also to examine if schema therapy led to schema change and symptom change (Taylor, Bee, & Haddock, 2017). Additional work included a qualitative study of core beliefs in psychosis to explore and analyze these thematically (Taylor, Haddock, Speer, & Bee, 2018b), and a daily experience sampling method study of positive and negative core schema in psychosis (Taylor et al., 2018a). The approach was also influenced by a number of areas of existing cognitive behavioral therapy work in imagery, including a CBT imagery manual by Hackmann, Bennett-Levy, and Holmes (2011), an adapted formulation model by Hales et al. (2014), some techniques from schema therapy (Arntz & Jacob, 2012; Young, Klosko, & Weishaar, 2003), and the authors’ theoretical and clinical experience. These references were utilized to create a new therapy treatment approach, which informed the protocol for each therapy session and brief handouts for participants. The iMAPS therapy sessions followed a standard cognitive behavioral therapy approach, such as including an agenda, regular summaries, feedback at start and end of session, and use of techniques such as a collaborative approach, Socratic questioning, guided discovery, and between-session tasks (Beck, 2011). We describe in detail a session-by-session guide, and then illustrate with clinical examples to demonstrate the intervention in practice. While the UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) for Psychosis (2014) recommends at least 16 sessions of CBT following a manual, the 6-session intervention was tested as a stand-alone intervention. This is a similar approach to work by Freeman and colleagues’ (2015) Worry Intervention Trial, which led to reductions in worry and persecutory delusions. An agreement in iMAPS about 6 sessions assists both the therapist and the client to commence active techniques early on and keep a clear focus on the agenda in each session. It also allows for iMAPS to be considered a module to be included as part of a wider course of theoretically based therapy for psychosis. In the interests of flexibility, there is an option to offer an additional few sessions where the formulation and progress in therapy would indicate it would be of benefit.

We recommend practitioners familiarize themselves with the general guidance from existing full CBT for Psychosis therapy manuals that offer guidance on how to modify CBT for this client group (Fowler et al., 1995; Haddock & Slade, 1996; Morrison et al., 2004). Adaptations include taking account that engagement might take longer, distress from psychotic experiences may be very high, and in some cases sessions may need to be shorter in duration, but more frequent. Other adaptions might include written copies of between-session tasks, session summary sheets, and shorter agenda.

Five participants (three men and two women) meeting criteria for a schizophrenia spectrum disorder under the care of an NHS early-intervention psychosis service were recruited. Participant ages ranged from 19 years to 34 years ($M = 23.40; SD = 6.42$). One participant met criteria for schizophrenia, one for delusional disorder, one for schizoaffective disorder, and two had no formal diagnoses but were experiencing psychosis and met criteria for entry to receive care from an early-intervention psychosis service.
In terms of ethnicity, all five described themselves as White British. Participants had a variety of living arrangements including living in supported accommodation, in a bail hostel, with their partner and parents, and with parents and their child. Four participants were single and one was living with their partner. Two participants were studying in higher education and three were unemployed. Four of the five participants were experiencing auditory hallucinations at initial assessment. All five participants were experiencing persecutory delusions at initial assessment and all had been prescribed at least one or more antidepressant medication previously and three continued with antipsychotics and other medications during the study (see Taylor, Bee, Kelly, et al., 2018, for more details). Therapy was delivered by the first author who met BABCP (British Association for Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapies) minimum training standards for CBT, had previous experience of working as a CBT trial therapist on a recovery-focused CBT for psychosis clinical trial, and extensive post-qualification continuous professional development in psychosis. Fidelity to treatment was ensured through using the iMAPS therapy booklet and was supervised fortnightly by the third and last authors (J.K. and G.H.), both experienced clinicians in this field. Therapy sessions were audio-recorded therapy sessions and reviewed in supervision to ensure therapy fidelity.

Psychosis-Specific Imagery Assessment Issues

In this novel treatment approach, our definition of imagery is broader than just visual imagery. Imagery may involve any of the senses (Kosslyn, Ganis, & Thompson, 2001). This may involve sights, sounds, smells, tastes, and feelings (of the somatic type), and so all should be explored in assessment.

For individuals who experience psychosis and persecutory delusions, it is important to help to make a distinction between what may be a psychotic experience (e.g., hearing voices when there is no one around; auditory hallucinations) and sounds that are heard “in the mind’s ear.” This is an easier distinction to make when the individual reports hearing voices “outside” their head, as there will be a clearer demarcation between voices outside auditory images where they hear with the mind’s ear, and any internal stream of consciousness dialogue. We also used an adapted Mental Imagery in Psychosis Questionnaire (MIPQ), which consisted of visual analogue scales (Holmes et al., 2016) that ask individuals to rate various characteristics of imagery, such as how compelling, how real, and how vivid. There was also a question that asked, “To what extent could you find positive/helpful ways of using images?” and was expected to improve as techniques to work with the images were introduced in the early sessions.

Sensitive discussion on the nature of internal mental imagery and “how real” this seems important for engagement (i.e., it is important that the client feels the therapist is not questioning whether the client believes the image is real or not but is asking about the visual properties of the mental image, the visceral nature of it, rather than a “Is it real?” or “all in your head” judgment). The MIPQ measure was completed for each image, in each of the senses it presented in (e.g., auditory, visual, gustatory, olfactory, and somatosensory) so change could be monitored and intervention strategies adjusted to achieve the change desired. People often have pictures or other sensory experiences that are involved with day-to-day flow of thoughts in difficult situations. The images can have important meanings and a powerful impact on how individuals feel and what they do. Even when individuals notice the images, they sometimes might not want to explore or engage with them in more detail, especially if concerned they might be upsetting. Despite this, it is worth exploring and testing in therapy, as the evocation of strong emotions can be a catalyst for change. We found that this experience of imagery seemed different for people with psychosis and that for many these images and beliefs were important in their day-to-day experience.

Session Structure for Imagery Intervention Sessions

Sessions should be structured to complete the intervention within the first 30 minutes of the session, to leave at least 20 minutes for debriefing, to ensure the client is grounded back in day-to-day setting. This allows sufficient time to determine and agree on a between-session task, to reflect on the content of the session, and to consider imaginal practice of new skills. Hackmann et al. (2011) and Arntz and Jacob (2012) both advocate extending sessions to 1 hour or 80 minutes when first orienting clients to imagery work. In our case series study, all of our participants were able to manage the longer sessions, although it is acknowledged that for some people with psychosis, shorter sessions to help with concentration issues or being distracted by voices may be more helpful.

We found spending sufficient time on the between-session task was helpful for imagery-focused therapy, particularly if clients had metacognitive beliefs and fears about the power or nature of imagery that made them reluctant to engage in between-session tasks such as using an imagery diary. Other between-session tasks included imagery practice techniques worked on in session. This might include practicing safe place imagery in between early sessions, practicing manipulating distressing images, and practicing imagery rescripts worked on in session.
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Therapists frequently use empathy in their CBT work. In this imagery-focused work, we found that empathy before and after the imagery work in session was helpful, but could be distracting during rescripting interventions. Therapists often empathize with the degree of distress experienced, and associate this with the individual meaning for the client. It may be unhelpful as it reminds the client that they are in a therapy session, and it reorients them to their therapist in the room, rather than more fully engaging with the imaginal exercises. One of the reasons for engaging with an imagery intervention is to allow an upsetting experience to be brought to the forefront of awareness; expressions of empathy from a therapist tend to negatively influence this process.

We would recommend that therapists remain mindful of not utilizing empathy during rescripting, even if it initially feels incongruent, or if it is something therapists are not used to doing. Arntz and Jacob (2012) argued for empathy before and after the imaginal intervention, but not during, as it can be distracting for the client while trying to rescript. Agreeing to an “interrupt” signal can also be important to help manage any fears or distress, either as a verbal or nonverbal cue, such as the client tapping their foot or raising their hand.

All sessions were audio-recorded for purposes of supervision and to ensure adherence to the iMAPS therapy approach, with the offer of a copy to clients if they wished to listen to the recording. Table 1 offers an overview of the therapy, described in greater detail in the following sections.

### Session 1: Assessment, Goals, and Psychoeducation

The initial session involved defining mental imagery and giving everyday examples to ensure that clients and clinicians have a similar definition of mental images. People can sometimes be unaware, embarrassed, or concerned about how a therapist or psychologist might respond to the images they report. We utilized examples from the existing CBT imagery literature and found they were helpful for orienting people with psychosis:

> Most people, when they are upset, have upsetting things going through their minds. Sometimes they are in the form of thoughts or words, and sometimes in the form of pictures or feelings in the body. Does that happen for you? Do you sometimes get picture images or words? (Hackmann et al., 2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase of Treatment</th>
<th>Main approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assessment, Goals, Psychoeducation</td>
<td>Interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formulation &amp; Case Conceptualisation</td>
<td>Shared psychological formulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imagery CT Approaches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Place Image</td>
<td>A real or imaged safe place, described in detail, across each of the senses that gives a strong sense of safety and happiness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image Suppression &amp; Behavioural Experiments</td>
<td>Similar to thought suppression experiments and behavioural experiments within other areas of CBT but with a focus on images</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manipulation of Images</td>
<td>To show images are only a mental event – improve sense of control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working with upsetting memories</td>
<td>Test any beliefs or appraisals regarding an image meaning you are “going mad”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformation</td>
<td>Provide a wider context by running image on past the worst point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Updating aspects of the image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emotional bridge to past (also use as diagnostic imagery exercise – can identify key life events which link to core beliefs, current images and psychotic symptoms).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imagery Rescripting Approaches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imagery Rescripting past events</td>
<td>Past events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imagery Rescripting Flash-forwards</td>
<td>Future Flash-forwards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working with night-time imagery</td>
<td>Discussion of negative beliefs re self and others, schemas –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creating Positive Imagery</td>
<td>Imagery rescripting to change future anticipated image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Updating aspects of the image, rescripting new endings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1
iMAgey Focused Therapy for PSychosis (iMAPS)

Table 1 offers an overview of the therapy, described in greater detail in the following sections.
When individuals struggled with understanding what was meant by the term mental imagery, we offered the following explanation:

> When we think in mental images, we imagine in pictures in our mind’s eye. A mental image of this assessment might be picturing in your mind’s eye what the room looks like with us sitting in it. Although mental images often take the form of pictures, they can actually include any of the five senses. For example, you could “hear” the sounds of us talking in your imagination. We can also have images that come in the form of smells, tastes, or bodily sensations. Images can be clear or unclear, fully formed or fleeting. When we talk about mental images, we are referring to all these types of imaging. (Hales et al., 2014)

Two examples we used included, “Imagine eating a lemon—how does it feel in your mouth?” and “Think of your front room at home—how many windows does it have?” (Hackmann et al., 2011). Examples such as these often generate gustatory and visual images that can be discussed with clients to help them understand that imagery is part of day-to-day life (“lemon—did you get a sense of the bitter taste, the texture of the lemon with its bumpy surface, the sharp smell?”). We also found the Spontaneous Use of Imagery Scale (SUIS; Reisberg, Pearson, & Kosslyn, 2003) a useful measure to help orient people to examples of everyday use of imagery. Examples of items include, “When I hear a radio announcer or DJ I’ve never actually seen, I usually find myself picturing what they might look like.” This can also generate examples of nonthreatening, day-to-day examples of how imagery is used. Imagery assessment involves first establishing the modality through which the image presents itself (e.g., visual, auditory, somatic, tactile, gustatory): “Do you see it with your mind’s eye, or hearing with the mind’s ear or another sense?” (Hackmann et al.).

Discussion of mental images may also involve clients highlighting images from past distressing or traumatic events or future anticipated events, both of which are experienced as intrusive and unwanted. Intrusive images or flashbacks are often experienced as a common feature of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD; American Psychiatric Association, 2000; Ehlers & Clark, 2000). Flash-forwards are future-oriented mental images, first described in depression and associated with suicidal ideation (Holmes, Crane, Fennell, & Williams, 2007). Some examples of flash-forward imagery, often associated with negative schematic beliefs and persecutory delusions, are illustrated in Table 2.

A number of questions regarding imagery can be frequently used in the initial assessment to aid formulation. If an image is reported, information on context of image, content, image distress, vividness, threat, uncontrollability, and frequency is also elicited. Threat and uncontrollability are important to further clarify for people with psychosis, as these aspects of images can also be linked with persecutory delusions about the source of the threat and intent for a persecutor to intend harm. Emotions and metacognitive beliefs are also assessed to potentially be included in the collaborative formulation.

Table 3 offers a number of measures that can be used to assess psychotic experiences and core beliefs and schematic beliefs. The initial assessment can provide useful information, including an overview of distressing images reported by the client, such as psychotic experiences, particularly persecutory delusions and voices, core schemas, early maladaptive schemas, and schema modes. In addition to identifying negative core schema, we were also interested in the wider early maladaptive schemas and schema modes that people with psychosis often endorse. The identification of specific highly scoring schemas generated discussions about the origin of these beliefs (e.g., vulnerability to harm, abandonment) and associated intrusive images. Where these detailed measures were used, the assessment was spread over one to two sessions (in the case series study, the design meant that initial assessment and baseline visits took place before Session 1). The aim of the iMAPS assessment is to identify a target for intervention, an image or negative schematic belief that is distressing in its own right but also may have impact on the persecutory delusion or distress.

We gave clients a brief handout that reiterated some of the topics discussed above, including definitions of imagery and how imagery can be present in any of the senses. If willing, some clients were also given an adapted imagery diary, with an example completed in session to complete as a between-session task to help raise awareness of the images, how frequently they were experienced, and their wider psychological impact on thoughts, feelings, and behavior. The session concluded with the therapist summarizing the major issues discussed, the between-session task, and the eliciting of feedback.

### Session 2: Formulation and Safe Place Imagery

In Session 2, the main session target was to use information from the assessment and any between-session task (e.g., an imagery diary) to develop a collaborative formulation. This was based on a model adapted and developed for the case series study. The adapted imagery formulation is outlined in Figure 1.

The model begins with outlining life experiences that may have had an impact on the client’s core belief development. Several of the themes of life experiences identified in our qualitative study of core beliefs (Taylor et al., 2018b) were also identified in these clinical experiences.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Persecutory Belief</th>
<th>Content of Intrusive Images</th>
<th>Associated Memories</th>
<th>Affect/Emotions</th>
<th>Schematic belief(s)</th>
<th>Impact / Power of Image</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Fear of being hurt; fear of others being out to get me</td>
<td>Visual Image: Friend lying there, covered in blood Blood all around her when I see her Gustatory Image: A taste of blood in my mouth and feeling it on my hands</td>
<td>Finding friend covered in blood</td>
<td>Emptiness 70/100 Distress 85/100</td>
<td>Others are out to get me I am vulnerable</td>
<td>Is this a sign? If I get rid of the bad memory, will the good memory go too?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Fear that featureless figures will attack and hurt me</td>
<td>Usually 1st Person Perspective, sometimes 3rd person perspective Featureless Figures, no faces,</td>
<td>Memory of Hallucinatory Visual Experience (hallucination) of seeing Featureless Figures but which was also a visual image in mind’s eye afterwards</td>
<td>Malice 100/100 Fear 85/100 Distress 95/100</td>
<td>I am vulnerable I am bad Others are harsh, nasty, bad</td>
<td>Impossible to dismiss Awful sense of foreboding and malice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Fear I am going to die (be killed)</td>
<td>Scene of Death Image: Lots of people running across lawn to attack me Night Out – memory of being grabbed, punched, thrown on floor, feeling strangled</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fear 70/100 Dread Feeling 60/100 Sickness 70/100</td>
<td>I am vulnerable Others are dangerous/hostile</td>
<td>Meaning: That’s what’s coming / the imminent future Fills me with Fear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Strangers who I don’t know are out to get me</td>
<td>Image of being assaulted happening on a night out</td>
<td>Memory of assault</td>
<td>Anger 50/100 Guilt 100/100 Anxiety 100/100</td>
<td>“I’m not safe” Loss sense of self Vulnerable/ Victimised</td>
<td>Power: I don’t know? Feels very real and vivid Image takes positive feelings away. Loss of Libido Don’t feel I can start living properly again</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Fear of something bad happening (to me; to my family)</td>
<td>Trauma related intrusions to a sexual assault Night time flash-forward imagery of partner and family being killed by burglars</td>
<td>Flash-forward Images</td>
<td>Upset 100/100 Vulnerable 100/100 Scared 100/100 Anger 100/100</td>
<td>Abandonment Mistrust/Abuse Social isolation Failure Pessimism Self Punitiveness Vulnerability to Harm</td>
<td>Night-time imagery: Feels so real and vivid I’m going to die (gut feeling) I don’t want to lose people (fear of being on my own) I deserve to be punished (don’t know why)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
discussions with clients. These included participants reflecting on a number of life experiences that they associated with paranoid beliefs and negative beliefs about the self, others, and the world. A number of participants mentioned traumatic experiences. This included both childhood bullying and physical assaults that were more recent. A number of participants reported bullying as past life experiences that led to negative beliefs about others, and persecutory paranoia. A number of participants reported disappointment in their interpersonal relationships, with family, friends, and in romantic relationships. This could include beliefs about lost sense of trust in others, pessimism about future relationships and negative beliefs about others and the world. There did appear to be a relationship with beliefs and symptoms of psychosis. The associations with core beliefs, imagery, and psychotic symptoms are thus reflected in the heuristic model (in Figure 1) with which schema and images were formulated. Common experiences that led to the development of core beliefs included trauma and bullying, which resulted in negative-self and negative-other beliefs. In addition, we know from our experience sampling method (ESM) study that there are negative and positive schema in psychosis associated with hallucinations and delusions in the moment (Taylor et al., 2018a). Experience sampling studies, similar to diary studies, allow repeated measurement over the course of a day, over several days, using a booklet and watch or using a mobile phone application with brief questionnaires. In the study, we found that negative-self and negative-other core schema predicted the severity of hallucinatory and delusional psychotic experiences, their associated distress, and functioning problems. This demonstrates their important role in various aspects of delusions and hallucinations and justifies their inclusion in our iMAPS model.

For some clients, these core beliefs and schema can be traced to a specific source or memory. This can then be a trigger for an intrusive mental image. The details of the image are assessed as part of the imagery interview and includes the perspective (either observer /first-person perspective, or field/third-person perspective) and any movement or change in the image. It is important to focus not just on visual imagery, but to assess other senses as
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well, including tactile, auditory, olfactory, and gustatory. The image can then generate a number of emotional responses for the individual. These may be reported as emotions that could be defined as basic emotions (such as sadness, happiness, anger, disgust, and fear; Power & Dalgleish, 2016) or more personalized emotional descriptions. Getting further clarification on what each of these mean to the person is important. These can be rated for intensity on a 0–100 scale. We have also found it useful to ask clients to rate their overall sense of distress associated with the image here too. Closely linked with the emotions generated is the meaning or appraisal related to the

Figure 1. Imagery Formulation
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image. Helpful questions from the existing imagery CBT literature (Hales et al., 2014) can include, “Why does this image make you feel scared?” Asking the client to “hold the image in mind” can help to clarify the appraisals, which may or may not be complementary or contradictory appraisals. These can then influence the power of the image, and it is useful to ask, “Why is it the image cannot be dismissed?” and “What keeps the image going?” These can then influence the responses the person has to the image, both in terms of cognitive responses (e.g., such as image suppression), hypervigilance and behavioural responses (such as isolating oneself or not checking things out with others). Finally, we propose that these responses maintain psychotic experiences, such as persecutory paranoia and other symptoms, which then feed back into the generation and maintenance of the distressing image.

In addition, the imagery formulation can be expanded to include a longitudinal component. The model outlines where life experiences can be identified and development of particular positive or negative schema about the self or others which have been identified. This can add to a client’s shared understanding of the origins and schema links with intrusive mental images. The schema can also directly link with the same feelings or emotions that the current images generate. This discussion of schema in the model and subsequent personalized formulations for clients helped increase client understanding of the role of images. Schema are targeted with the imagery rescripting intervention, and the formulation helps provide a rationale for this as sessions proceed. In the case series, there were also examples of different types of mental imagery, including images, memories, daytime and nighttime imagery, distinct negative intrusive imagery, and deliberately constructed positive imagery (Hackmann et al., 2011).

The interventions utilized in the remaining sessions depended on the collaborative formulation and agreed-upon goals. Usually, the formulation was detailed in the session, any image diaries reviewed and then imagery work began with identifying a safe place image (see next paragraph). Example goals included (a) a better understanding of images and responses, (b) increased sense of control over images, (c) reducing distress associated with the images, (d) working with meaning of image or an underlying negative belief (using rescripting).

The third session involved experiments during the session to demonstrate control over the images, and, when appropriate, behavioral experiments. In addition to interview questions about images and memories, a diagnostic imagery exercise, where the client evokes the image in session, was also employed. The participant wipes the image (i.e. clears the visual image from their mind’s eye) and stays with the feeling (an affect bridge) and may make links with an earlier fragment of memory. When work commenced in sessions, it was important to outline the rationale for imagery work. This included a statement such as, “Practising safe place or more compassionate imagery will help you develop and reinforce a new way of relating to yourself, just like weight lifting builds muscle strength,” or mentioning functional equivalence theory: “This theoretical approach suggests that the same neural processes are involved in imaging carrying out a skill are the same which we use if we actually perform an action or a skill” (Kosslyn et al., 2001). A psychological enhancement approach could also be taken, explaining to the participant that imagery could widen one’s personal perspective to access additional resources. After this discussion, a useful introduction to imagery work was to begin with safe place imagery exercises.

**Safe Place Imagery**

Safe place imagery introduces individuals to imagery work, with the goal to provide a place of comfort, support, and relaxation. In our case series, we found that the safe places people with psychosis chose did not seem to be unusual or vary from the places chosen by individuals with other mental health diagnoses.

Individuals varied in their preference for eyes open or eyes closed exercises and it was helpful to create anchors in the room (e.g., feel the texture of a chair, notice features of the room) so they were able to return to the here and now of where therapy is happening. Examples of potential safe place images included a place of refuge with warm, pleasant associations (e.g., a holiday from the past, a warm embrace from a grandparent). If individuals were struggling to identify a positive safe place from the past, then an imagined safe place or a scene from a film was used instead.

An example from the existing imagery literature introduced the safe place exercise as follows:

Imagine that you are in a place where you feel safe, secure and comfortable, where you feel relaxed, can be yourself, and feel calm and at peace. Let this safe place float into your mind, coming into focus more and more. Can you see it? Where is it? Is there anyone else present? Describe what you see and what you feel. (Hackmann et al., 2011)

Examples of safe place imagery chosen from our participants with psychosis varied from standing on “a peaceful hill in the Lake District” to imaging a “Respite Pool” with water and a feeling of warmth. Other safe place images were based on real-life memories, such as being at an aunt’s house practicing piano with a smell of baking in the air, to playing in a childhood bedroom with favorite toys, music in background, feeling relaxed and happy with a favorite soft drink nearby. Some clients struggle to articulate or identify a safe place image. One participant struggled to identify such a safe place, and this meant...
more time was spent in earlier sessions attempting to work with him to identify this. He had experienced a difficult life history and reported trauma, which may have meant he found it hard to identify a period or place when he felt totally safe. However, we collaboratively agreed to proceed more cautiously to the rescripting stage.

**Sessions 3, 4, and 5**

The content of Sessions 3, 4, and 5 were informed by the collaborative formulation developed with the client. This highlighted cognitive and behavioral strategies being used by clients to manage their intrusive images, which may have been contributing to their difficulties. Also, the presence of “flash-forward” future intrusive images related to persecutory delusions was explored and worked with using imagery rescripting (see next section). Key approaches used are described below.

**Image Suppression and Responding Differently**

A frequently reported strategy from our assessment and formulation of clients reporting imagery difficulties was the use of image suppression as an approach to try and reduce the frequency of the intrusive image. It was often included in the individualized formulations as a cognitive strategy that participants were using, which was unhelpful and, paradoxically, worked to keep the images in mind. Wegner’s (1994) work on ironic processes of mental control and the white polar bear experiment (widely used in the context of thought suppression experiments, and can be adapted for imagery) was also used for people with psychosis. An experiment was carried out to demonstrate that suppressing images could cause them to occur more frequently. In the experiment, the therapist asked the client to imagine something unusual, for example, “Imagine a white polar bear in front of us… can you try that now? Can you describe it to me, some of the features of the polar bear? Great—can you try very hard for a few minutes not to think of the white polar bear. You can think of anything else you prefer, except for the white polar bear.” The majority of clients found that it was very difficult to suppress the image of a white polar bear fairly quickly. Demonstrating this in session helped to show that the cognitive strategy of image suppression may have been worsening the frequency of the image intrusions. Discussions of other strategies used to engage less with such images were also practiced in session, for example, stepping back from engaging with thoughts (e.g., a transport metaphor—letting the bus go past the stop, rather than getting on the bus—observing the image in one’s mind, but not engaging with it).

**Manipulation of Images**

A number of clients reported various beliefs related to the significance or power of the image. These involved metacognitive beliefs, with examples such as, “The image is real, in the external world,” “Allowing the image into my mind could kill me, make me mad or unwell, overwhelm me or mean that my distress will go on forever,” and “If I have the image in mind, I will act on it or it will affect reality for (better or worse).” Manipulating an image helped to demonstrate that the image was simply a mental event and practicing doing this in session was beneficial. In one case study, individuals with psychosis were asked to imagine the intrusive image on a television screen and to turn it off or change the volume or channel (Morrison, 2004). In our case series, we updated this technique and some participants imagined a “YouTube channel” screen. The image was manipulated on the imagined screen, adding such elements as advert breaks (which flashed up for 5 seconds, can be “skipped”), products they liked, or a video or clip that reminded them of something positive.

Michael reported frequent distressing visual images of a devil/demon face that would appear to him. He appraised this as meaning that he was “a devil puppet” and he was cursed in some way, evoking strong feelings of fear and associated with a belief that being cursed meant that others could read his thoughts. The therapist and Michael formulated this image and worked in session for Michael to bring the image of the devil to mind and put it on a YouTube channel screen. Michael was encouraged to introduce another character to the image and he chose a dolphin. The dolphin then proceeded to slap the devil in the face with its flipper. Michael reported a substantial drop in the fear associated with the image, the power of the devil, and the reported threat as the devil was now “humiliated” by the dolphin. His persecutory beliefs about the devil reduced on PSYRATS delusions from 18 to 14.

**Imagery-Related Behavioral Experiments**

Behavioral experiment approaches were also used in imagery-focused therapy for psychosis. For some clients, this included making a prediction, for example, asking a client to carefully outline and predict what will happen if a feared image is generated and brought to mind, and safety behaviors are dropped. This was used to test appraisals, such as holding an image in mind can make you ill or go mad. Similar to work in obsessive-compulsive disorders, beliefs related to thought-event fusion (images make events happen) and thought-action fusion (images lead to involuntary actions) were also tested using behavioral experiments.

**Imagery Rescripting and Flash-Forwards**

Imagery rescripting of both past events and future flash-forwards related to paranoia was conducted. The imagery rescripting approach has been developed and refined over recent years. It was utilized to help clients develop new meanings by mentally transforming a problematic image into a new, nonthreatening, or positive image (Arntz & Jacob, 2012; Arntz & Weertman, 2018, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbrpra.2018.10.002).
Adaptations for Imagery Rescripting Work in Psychosis

The therapists considered the high levels of affect that can be generated when people are experiencing voices or paranoia. Rescripting from a first-person observer perspective could sometimes feel too threatening to begin with, so describing from a field (third person) perspective can help reduce this initially. Ensuring that clients were familiar with grounding techniques and that the safe place image has been well practiced was also important to ensure they had tools to diffuse the situation if the images became too distressing in the session. Arntz and van Genderen (2009) outlined several commonly reported challenges with imagery rescripting, which we also found and describe in relation to psychosis from our case series below. This included a fear of closing their eyes, so instead, some clients chose to focus on a fixed point in the room. When fears about closing their eyes were explored, clients reported concern with being judged by the therapist or a fear of being looked at. The therapist offered to close his/her eyes too, or to move physically further away from the client to another part of the room. A further option was to set a time limit on how long their eyes are closed (e.g., 1 or 2 minutes and then gradually increasing this). The continual repetition of the same memories being reported suggested that key experiences from the past (linked with core beliefs and sometimes represented in mental imagery) had not been successfully worked with. Focusing on imagery-related memories, beliefs, and reworking the events helped to resolve this and resulted in schema change. Some of the clients with whom we worked found they could not access memories from their childhood, possibly as a result of many years of suppressing them. It was important to be aware of times where fear of the memories or a sense of punishment was potentially contributing, particularly if this was associated with the persecutory delusions. To work with this, it was important to acknowledge these fears of the memories or images and explore how they were involved in their current experiences. We were fortunate that no clients dissociated in session. However, in case this happened the plan was to stop the intervention and return the client to the room. The next strategy to be used would have been the strengthening of the therapeutic relationship before further work on that specific memory or image could take place. Other examples are discussed by Arntz and van Genderen (2009).

Nighttime Imagery

Nightmares have been defined as waking from rapid eye movement sleep with memory of upsetting mental experiences (Levin & Nielsen, 2007; Sheaves, Onwumere, Keen, Stahl, & Kuipers, 2015). A recent study examined the prevalence of nightmares in 40 people with psychosis and found that 55% reported nightmares as a weekly problem. The distress linked with the nightmares was associated with more severe delusional beliefs, anxiety, depression, and working memory difficulties (Sheaves et al., 2015). Some participants in the iMAPS imagery case
series investigation did report distressing nightmares associated with poor sleep and bad dreams. Clients reported avoiding going to sleep to avoid experiencing nightmares. In order to work with nighttime imagery, we began with assessing the dream reported in session, and asked the client to describe the dream and explore any themes that arose (which may or may not have had some overlap with themes from day-to-day life). The client was then asked how they would like to feel in the situation depicted in the dream and how they would like it to play out and their revised role. Imagery approaches such as rescripting were then used to change the dream in various ways, with the aim of achieving change in emotion and associated schema and a reduction in persecutory beliefs.

Rebecca had a recurrent nightmare of her 1-year-old son Andrew being punched and attacked by an unknown assailant outside her flat and experiencing watching this from an observer perspective and the sensation of being held back by another person. This contributed to her fear that something bad was going to happen and that strangers were talking about her. She would often wake in a panic, get out of bed and then bring her son into her room in her bed with her. Rebecca would try to suppress the nighttime imagery and emotion using distraction. The therapist and Rebecca formulated this and predicted that the distraction may be contributing to the nightmare returning. They used imagery approaches to allow Rebecca to demonstrate some control over the image and try to respond differently to the nightmare. They also worked together to develop a rescript of the nightmare, first where Rebecca leaves her flat with her son and safely goes for a walk and returns home, then with a flatmate accompanying them on the walk. After practicing this and being familiar with the approach, a further rescript was developed where Rebecca is in the nightmare scene and breaks away from the person holding her, rescues her son Andrew from his attacker, and is able to run away. Slowly, Rebecca began to experience the nightmare less and be less distressed by it. Her persecutory beliefs on the PSYRATS delusions reduced from 14 to 0.

Deliberately Generating Positive Images of the Future

The creation of positive imagery had some similarities to working to change negative imagery, but with a greater focus on generating new images, which have not been experienced before. Negative imagery broadly was considered as being negative, spontaneous, and involuntary, and individuals reported avoiding it. Positive imagery, on the other hand, was positive, constructed by the client, voluntary, and the client was more keen to engage with this new image. This also included positive emotions, and helped promote new ways of relating or being.

John described intrusive images of featureless, faceless figures who evoked a strong sense of malice and contributed to his persecutory belief that something bad was going to happen to him. There were also links with a “vulnerability to harm” schematic belief. The therapist suggested John generate some ways of trying to reduce the feeling of threat from the figures by introducing new elements into the image. John suggested visualizing some sort of “body cell” or protective casing to enclose the figures and introduced some positive aspects to the image, a “respite floating orb.” When introducing the body cell, the sense of malice decreased but was still present, with John reporting that cracks in the body cell were letting the sense of malice escape. The therapist suggested that the suit could become completely sealed, and this further reduced the sense of malice. John introduced a positive respite orb, which floated in the image and created light and removed the featureless figure with the body cell. The orb also created positive associations linked with his safe place image of a respite pool, and reduced the sense of malice to zero. John reported finding this very helpful and as he practiced over the subsequent weeks, found the frequency image and the distress reduced substantially and he felt confident he had tools to cope with it when it returned. His persecutory beliefs reduced on PSYRATS delusions from 12 to 0.

Therapy Summary

In the sixth and final session, we reviewed the imagery characteristics (and image diary, if completed) and discussed each of the strategies that had been found to be helpful in the previous sessions. For some clients, this might also have included discussion of any anxieties of images returning or new, unwanted, or intrusive images developing. Some clients found that discussing other possible safe place images was reassuring, as were the further adaptations to the imagery techniques or generating additional new positive imagery. The process of imagery rescripting and other possible rescripts were also discussed with some participants. This was usually summarized in a therapy summary booklet, collaboratively developed with the clients, the imagery formulations, and the client’s own tailored imagery rescripts. There was also a discussion of any early-warning signs of intrusive imagery returning. A joint appointment with the client’s care coordinator was sometimes carried out to explain the progress in therapy and work that could be taken forward. The therapy summary was also shared if the client agreed and felt this would be helpful. Feedback was also requested at the end of each session and at the end of the overall intervention. Clients were offered copies of sessions on CD, but uptake of this varied.

Conclusion

The prevalence and severity of images and negative schema in psychosis has been established previously, but there have not been any detailed interventions to address this psychologically. In this article, we have outlined clinical issues and adaptations of an imagery-focused approach for persecutory delusions. Assessing and formulating images and core beliefs can then inform opportunities to use imagery techniques to transform
images and negative schema. The approach includes the use of safe place images, image suppression and behavioral experiments, manipulation of images, working upsetting memories, imagery rescripting of past events, imagery rescripting flash-forwards, working with nighttime imagery, and creating positive imagery. This article has provided specific examples of implementing the above techniques with clients with persecutory delusions. We hope this article will generate additional interest, and help other clinicians working in psychosis to use evidence-based therapy approaches for distressing images and negative schema in psychosis.

Appendix A. Supplementary data
Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcbppra.2018.10.002.
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